



PRACTICAL GUIDE

Leading Through Change in Healthcare and Life Sciences

Five communication imperatives for helping people navigate change while protecting what matters most

Healthcare and life sciences organizations have always operated in complex, high-stakes environments. Business decisions can affect not only employees and financial performance, but patients, providers, access to care, scientific progress, and health outcomes.

Regulatory and policy uncertainty. Cost and productivity pressures. New operating and care models. AI and other technologies changing how work gets done. M&A, restructuring, and workforce changes. Organizations are navigating all of these while continuing to deliver on their mission and meet the needs of the people they serve.

For employees, these changes don't arrive as a tidy portfolio of separate initiatives. They accumulate. A new operating model may be taking shape while teams are being asked to improve productivity, adopt new technology, or respond to a changing regulatory environment. Employees are trying to understand each change and absorb what all of it means for the organization, their work, and their future.

That makes communication more than a supporting activity. At its best, it turns complexity and change into clarity, trust, and action — helping people understand business decisions, know what is expected, and stay connected to work that advances science, improves care, and supports better health outcomes.

While every organization's circumstances are different, we see **five communication imperatives** becoming increasingly important for healthcare and life sciences organizations navigating change while protecting patient care, scientific integrity, and the mission that gives the work meaning.

1

Create clarity without pretending to have certainty

When regulatory, policy, and business conditions keep shifting

Healthcare and life sciences organizations operate in an environment where regulatory, policy, economic, and market conditions can change quickly. Leaders may need to make decisions or prepare their organizations to respond before all the implications are known.

The temptation is often to wait to communicate until there is something definitive to say. But in the absence of information, employees will fill in the gaps as they try to make sense of what's happening — fueling speculation, rumors, and assumptions about what the change could mean for them.

The communication challenge is not to manufacture certainty. It is to create as much clarity as possible within uncertainty.

That means helping employees understand:

- **What we know.** What has happened or been decided.
- **What we don't know.** What remains uncertain.
- **What we're doing about it.** How the organization is evaluating or preparing for potential impacts.
- **When employees will hear more.** What they can expect next.

Providing context matters as much as providing updates. Employees need to understand *why* external developments matter to the organization and what leaders are considering as they respond.

A predictable communication cadence can build confidence even when there isn't significant new information. Communication also needs to reach people where they work — from clinical and manufacturing settings to laboratories, field teams, and offices. And managers need to be prepared to answer questions without speculating or inadvertently turning possibilities into perceived decisions.

The goal isn't certainty. It's confidence among employees that their leaders are paying attention, making thoughtful decisions, and will communicate honestly as circumstances evolve.



2

Connect business realities to purpose

When cost and productivity pressures require difficult change

Healthcare and life sciences organizations face the same financial pressures as other businesses, but conversations about cost and productivity can carry additional weight in organizations whose employees are deeply connected to patients, science, care, or a broader mission.

Employees may hear “efficiency” or “productivity” and worry not only about what it means for their own jobs and workloads, but about the potential impact on the work they came to the organization to do: Will patient care or access suffer? Will quality be compromised? Will there be less investment in research and scientific inquiry? Could short-term financial pressures come at the expense of innovation and better health outcomes?

Communications shouldn't try to make difficult business realities sound more palatable by wrapping them in purpose. Employees need both: an honest explanation of the business need **and** a meaningful understanding of what the organization is trying to protect, improve, or make possible.

Effective communication should:

- Explain the business challenge candidly and in language employees can understand.
- Help people see why action is needed rather than communicating only the decision.
- Explain what greater efficiency or productivity is intended to enable, not simply what it will save.
- Connect decisions to patient, provider, customer, or scientific outcomes when that connection is real and demonstrable.
- Acknowledge tradeoffs and employee impacts rather than minimizing them.
- Show tangible evidence over time of what has improved as a result.

Purpose can provide important context for change. It shouldn't be used to sugarcoat it.

That distinction matters for credibility and trust.



3

Translate organizational change into employee reality

When operating models, structures, and processes change

A new organizational structure may make perfect sense on a slide. A redesigned process may have a compelling business case. A merger may create important strategic opportunities.

None of those explanations necessarily tells an employee what happens Monday morning.

Healthcare and life sciences organizations can make that translation particularly challenging. Change may cut across clinical and nonclinical teams, laboratories and manufacturing sites, R&D and commercial organizations, corporate functions and field teams, or global organizations and local markets. Employees may work within highly specialized disciplines, regulatory requirements, and established ways of working that can't simply be standardized without understanding the implications.

Communication's role is to translate organizational design into employee reality.

That means moving beyond the enterprise-level announcement to answer questions such as:

- What is changing for me — and what isn't?
- Will my role, manager, or team change?
- Will the way I do my work change?
- Will this affect how we serve patients, providers, or customers?
- What happens now, and what happens later?
- What decisions have not yet been made?
- What do I need to do differently?
- Where can I get help or raise questions?

Sequencing matters. Employees whose roles are directly affected need different information at different times than employees for whom the change has little immediate impact. And where changes could affect patient care, scientific work, quality, or regulatory responsibilities, employees need to understand not just what is changing and how they will be affected, but how the organization is protecting what cannot be compromised.

Managers are particularly important. They need enough context to explain not just what is changing but how the change affects their teams — and they need clear boundaries around questions they cannot yet answer.

The enterprise story gives people context. The local story helps them act. Organizations need both.



4

Keep people at the center of technology change

When AI and digital tools reshape how work gets done

AI is one of the most visible forces changing healthcare and life sciences, but it is part of a broader evolution in how technology is being embedded into work.

The opportunities are significant — from accelerating research and supporting clinical decision-making to improving operations, engaging patients and providers, and reducing administrative burden. But so are the stakes. Questions about accuracy, privacy, bias, regulatory compliance, and human oversight take on heightened significance when technology can influence scientific work, patient experiences, or decisions affecting health.

For employees, technology change isn't only about learning a new tool. It can change workflows, responsibilities, skill requirements, and, increasingly, how people think about the value they bring to their roles. For some, it may also raise a more fundamental question: Where should technology augment human expertise, and where must professional judgment and accountability remain firmly human?

That makes adoption a human change challenge as much as a technology challenge.

Communicators can help organizations:

- Connect technology investments to business and employee needs rather than leading with features.
- Be clear about what's changing, what's expected, and where the guardrails are.
- Partner with technology, legal, compliance, and business leaders to translate governance into practical guidance employees can use.
- Address employee concerns honestly rather than communicating only benefits.
- Give employees practical opportunities to experience new technology and build confidence.
- Help define where technology adds value and where human judgment, expertise, and accountability remain essential.
- Listen continuously as employee experiences and the technology itself evolve.

The objective shouldn't simply be more technology adoption. It should be better work enabled by technology. AI makes these questions particularly urgent because it can change not only how people perform work, but which aspects of work are performed by people at all.

For a deeper exploration of this challenge, ROI's Leading the Human Side of AI guide helps internal communicators make AI-enabled change clear, human, and real.



5

Manage the experience of continuous change

When employees are navigating all these changes at once

Organizations often tend to manage change by initiative.

Employees don't experience it that way.

In healthcare and life sciences, the layers can accumulate quickly. A regulatory or compliance change may arrive alongside a cost initiative. An R&D or commercial team may be adjusting to a new operating model while adopting AI-enabled workflows. A health system may be redesigning how care is delivered, while restructuring teams and asking employees to work differently. An acquisition can bring new systems, processes, and leadership while employees are expected to deliver care, advance research, or support customers without interruption.

Each initiative may have its own sponsor, project team, timeline, and communication plan. But to employees, **it's all change**.

And the cumulative effect can be particularly consequential in an environment where employees may already be working under significant pressure and where they believe the quality of their work matters to patients, providers, science, or health outcomes.

That creates a different communication challenge: managing not only individual changes, but the cumulative employee experience of change.

Organizations should consider:

- **The enterprise narrative.** Can employees understand how different changes connect to the organization's strategy and direction?
- **Cumulative impact.** Are the same teams being asked to absorb multiple changes simultaneously? Are there periods or populations where the operational burden or potential impact on critical work is particularly high?
- **Communication coordination.** Are separate project teams sending messages that compete, conflict, or overwhelm?
- **Manager capacity.** Are managers equipped to help employees make sense of multiple changes, not just cascade individual announcements?
- **Change fatigue.** Are employees disengaging, resisting, or simply running out of capacity to absorb more?
- **Listening.** Are we looking across initiatives to understand how employees are experiencing change overall?
- **Progress.** Are employees hearing only about what's changing next, or are we also showing what previous changes have accomplished — for the business, for employees, and, where relevant, for patients or those the organization serves?

This requires moving from communicating a portfolio of change initiatives to managing an employee experience of continuous change.

And it requires communicators to work upstream as strategic advisors — bringing employee insight into decisions, helping leaders see connections and competing demands, and identifying potential impacts that may not be visible from within an individual project.





The common thread: help people make sense of change

The pressures facing healthcare and life sciences organizations are varied, and the communication response to a regulatory change shouldn't look exactly like the response to a merger, an operating-model redesign, or an AI implementation.

But across all of them, the fundamentals are remarkably consistent. People need to understand what is changing and why, what it means for them, and what they need to do differently. They need leaders who communicate honestly, managers who can help translate change into their day-to-day reality, opportunities to ask questions and be heard, and evidence that the organization is listening and adapting as change unfolds.

In healthcare and life sciences, there is an added responsibility. Organizations often have to make significant changes while continuing work that can't simply stop — and while protecting what cannot be compromised: patient care, quality, scientific integrity, regulatory obligations, and the trust of the people they serve.

For communicators, that makes the job bigger than delivering messages about individual initiatives. It means helping leaders create context before there are messages to deliver. Connecting individual changes to a larger organizational narrative. Identifying employee impacts and potential pressure points early. Equipping managers to lead through uncertainty. Listening across the organization — and using what is learned to adjust. And helping employees understand not simply what the organization is changing, but how it will move forward while protecting what matters most.

In an industry where change is coming from many directions at once, great communication turns complexity and change into clarity, trust, and action — so people can do their best work and stay connected to patient care, scientific progress, and the communities they serve.

A closing diagnostic

Use these questions to assess where communication can make the greatest difference:

Where is change putting the greatest pressure on your organization?

Consider:

- Are employees getting enough clarity when answers are still evolving?
- Can people connect difficult business decisions to both business realities and organizational purpose?
- Are enterprise-level changes being translated into what they mean for individual employees and teams?
- Do employees understand how the organization is protecting what cannot be compromised as change moves forward?
- Are messages reaching clinical, laboratory, manufacturing, field, and deskless employees in ways they can access and use?
- Are technology initiatives addressing the human change required for adoption, not just implementation?
- Are you managing each change initiative separately — or the cumulative employee experience of regulatory, operational, technological, financial, and organizational change?
- Do managers have the context, tools, and capacity to help their teams navigate change while keeping them focused on the work that cannot pause?
- Are you listening often enough to know where employees are struggling, adapting, or ready to move faster, and where change may be putting pressure on patient care, quality, scientific work, or other critical priorities?

At ROI, these are the kinds of questions we help organizations answer. We bring communication strategy, change expertise, and employee insight together to help people understand what's changing, take action with confidence, and stay focused on the work that matters most.

Put the
thinking into
practice

Explore more ideas and support for communicating through complex change.

- **Healthcare & Life Sciences:** [Explore practical resources for HLS communication leaders.](#)
- **Change Communication:** [See how ROI helps organizations turn complex change into clarity and action.](#)





ROI Communication is a 100% employee-owned strategic internal communication and employee engagement firm that helps large, complex organizations connect business priorities to the employees who bring them to life.

Connect with us:

CALL +1 831.430.0170

EMAIL inquiry@roico.com

CONNECT 

roico.com

